

2nd Exercise Sheet for Kombinatorische Algorithmen, WS 14/15

Hand In: Until Monday, 17.11.2014, 12:00,
deliver or email to Raphael (reitzig@cs.uni-kl.de).

Problem 3

1 + 4 points

We investigate a generalisation of the *string matching problem*. We now search for a *pattern* (instead of a fixed string P) which we will assume to be given as a *regular language* $L \in \Sigma^*$. We will show that there are efficient algorithms for this problem as well.

You can assume that L be given in one of the usual, finite representations, e. g. finite automata, regular expressions or left-/right-regular grammars¹.

Assume furthermore that L is *fixed*, i. e. the asymptotics in the problem statements below do *not* depend on L resp. the size of its representation. Nevertheless, your algorithms should work for *any* regular L !

- a) Develop an algorithm that solves the following problem $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time:

Regular String Matching

Input: $w \in \Sigma^n$

Question: Does w match the pattern L , i. e. is $w \in L$?

- b) Develop an algorithm for the following problem:

Regular Substring Matching

Input: Text $T \in \Sigma^N$ and regular language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$

Output: The set of all substring matches of L in T , i. e.

$$\mathcal{M}_L(T) = \{(i, j) \in [1 : n]^2 \mid i \leq j, T_{i,j} \in L\}.$$

Your algorithm should run in time $\Theta(n + k)$ where $k = |\mathcal{M}_L(T)|$. Note that since $\Omega(n + k)$ is a trivial lower runtime bound you are looking for an asymptotically runtime-*optimal* algorithm.

Less efficient solutions may yield partial credit depending on how far off they are.

¹You remember from your formal language theory course(s) that these can all be derived from each other efficiently.