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#### Abstract

In this paper we compute the limit distributions of the numbers of hairpinloops, interior-loops and bulges in $k$-noncrossing RNA structures. The latter are coarse grained RNA structures allowing for cross-serial interactions, subject to the constraint that there are at most $k-1$ mutually crossing arcs in the diagram representation of the molecule. We prove central limit theorems by means of studying the corresponding bivariate generating functions. These generating functions are obtained by symbolic inflation of $\mathrm{Iv}_{k}^{5}$-shapes [11].
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## 1. Introduction

An RNA molecule is a sequence of the four nucleotides $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{C}$ together with the Watson-Crick (A-U, G-C) and $\mathbf{U}-\mathbf{G}$ base pairing rules. The sequence of bases is called the primary structure of the RNA molecule. Two bases in the primary structure which are not adjacent may form hydrogen bonds following the Watson-Crick base pairing rules. Three decades
ago Waterman et al. [9, 10, 13] analyzed RNA secondary structures. Secondary structures are coarse grained RNA contact structures. They can be represented as diagrams and planar graphs, see Fig. 1. Diagrams are labeled


Figure 1: The phenylalanine tRNA secondary structure represented as 2noncrossing diagram (top) and planar graph (bottom).
graphs over the vertex set $[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with vertex degrees $\leq 1$, represented by drawing its vertices on a horizontal line and its arcs $(i, j)(i<j)$, in the upper half-plane, see Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. Here, vertices and arcs correspond to the nucleotides $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{C}$ and Watson-Crick (A-U, G-C) and (U-G) base pairs, respectively. In a diagram two arcs $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)$ and $\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)$ are called crossing if $i_{1}<i_{2}<j_{1}<j_{2}$ holds. Accordingly, a $k$-crossing is a sequence of $\operatorname{arcs}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{k}, j_{k}\right)$ such that $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k}<j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{k}$, see Fig. 2. We call diagrams containing at most $(k-1)$-crossings, $k$-noncrossing diagrams ( $k$-noncrossing partial matchings). The length of an arc $(i, j)$ is given by $j-i$, characterizing the minimal length of a hairpin loop. A stack of length $\tau$ is a sequence of "parallel" arcs of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
((i, j),(i+1, j-1), \ldots,(i+(\tau-1), j-(\tau-1))) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we denote it by $S_{i, j}^{\tau}$. We call an arc of length one a 1 -arc. A $k$ noncrossing, $\tau$-canonical RNA structure is a $k$-noncrossing diagram without

1-arcs, having a minimum stack-size of $\tau$, see Fig. 2. Let $\mathcal{T}_{k, \tau}(n)$ denote the set of $k$-noncrossing, $\tau$-canonical RNA structures of length $n$ and let $\mathrm{T}_{k, \tau}(n)$ denote their number.


Figure 2: A 2-noncrossing, 2-canonical RNA structure (left) and a 3-noncrossing, 2canonical RNA structure (right) represented as planer graphs (top) and diagrams (bottom).

We next introduce the following structural elements of $k$-noncrossing, $\tau$ canonical RNA structures, see Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. Let $[i, j]$ denote an interval,


Figure 3: 3 -noncrossing, 6-canonical structures: the pseudoknot structure of the PrP-encoding mRNA represented as diagrams (top) and planer graphs (bottom).
i.e. a sequence of consecutive isolated vertices $(i, i+1, \ldots, j-1, j)$. We consider, see Fig. 4

1. a hairpin-loop is a pair

$$
((i, j),[i+1, j-1]) .
$$

2. an interior-loop is a sequence

$$
\left(\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right),\left[i_{1}+1, i_{2}-1\right],\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right),\left[j_{2}+1, j_{1}-1\right]\right)
$$

where $\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)$ is nested in $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)$.
3. a bulge is a sequence

$$
\left(\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right),\left[i_{1}+1, i_{2}-1\right],\left(i_{2}, j_{1}-1\right)\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right),\left(i_{1}+1, j_{2}\right),\left[j_{2}+1, j_{1}-1\right]\right) .
$$

4. a stem is a sequence of stacks

$$
\left(S_{i_{1}, j_{1}}, S_{i_{2}, j_{2}}^{\tau_{2}}, \ldots, S_{i_{s}, j_{s}}^{\tau_{s}}\right)
$$

where the stack $S_{i_{m}, j_{m}}^{\tau_{m}}$ is nested in $S_{i_{m-1}, j_{m-1}}^{\tau_{m-1}}, 2 \leq m \leq s$ and there are no arcs of the form $\left(i_{1}-1, j_{1}+1\right)$ and $\left(i_{s}+\tau_{s}, j_{s}-\tau_{s}\right)$.


Figure 4: The loop-types: hairpin-loop (top), interior-loop (middle) and bulge (bottom).

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $f_{k}(n, \ell)$ denote the number of $k$-noncrossing diagrams on $n$ vertices having exactly $\ell$ isolated vertices. A diagram without isolated points is called a matching. The exponential generating function of $k$-noncrossing matchings satisfies the following identity $[2,4,5]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}_{k}(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} f_{k}(2 n, 0) \cdot \frac{z^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}=\left.\operatorname{det}\left[I_{i-j}(2 z)-I_{i+j}(2 z)\right]\right|_{i, j=1} ^{k-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

| $k$ | $q_{0, k}(z)$ | $R_{k}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $(4 z-1) z$ | $\left\{\frac{1}{4}\right\}$ |
| 3 | $(16 z-1) z^{2}$ | $\left\{\frac{1}{16}\right\}$ |
| 4 | $\left(144 z^{2}-40 z+1\right) z^{3}$ | $\left\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{36}\right\}$ |
| 5 | $\left(1024 z^{2}-80 z+1\right) z^{4}$ | $\left\{\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{64}\right\}$ |
| 6 | $\left(14400 z^{3}-4144 z^{2}+140 z-1\right) z^{5}$ | $\left\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{36}, \frac{1}{100}\right\}$ |
| 7 | $\left(147456 z^{3}-12544 z^{2}+224 z-1\right) z^{6}$ | $\left\{\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{144}\right\}$ |

Table 1: We present the polynomials $q_{0, k}(z)$ and their nonzero roots obtained by the MAPLE package GFUN.
where $I_{r}(2 z)=\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{z^{2 j+r}}{j!(j+r)!}$ is the hyperbolic Bessel function of the first kind of order $r$. Eq. (2) allows us to conclude that the ordinary generating function

$$
\mathbf{F}_{k}(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} f_{k}(2 n, 0) z^{n}
$$

is $D$-finite [12]. This follows from the fact that $I_{r}(2 z)$ is $D$-finite and $D$-finite power series form an algebra [12]. Consequently, there exists some $e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0, k}(z) \frac{d^{e}}{d z^{e}} \mathbf{F}_{k}(z)+q_{1, k}(z) \frac{d^{e-1}}{d z^{e-1}} \mathbf{F}_{k}(z)+\cdots+q_{e, k}(z) \mathbf{F}_{k}(z)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{j, k}(z)$ are polynomials and $q_{0, k}(z) \neq 0$. The ordinary differential equations (ODE) for $\mathbf{F}_{k}(z)$, where $2 \leq k \leq 7$ are obtained by the MAPLE package GFUN from the exact data of $f_{k}(2 n, 0)$. They are verified by first deriving the corresponding $P$-recursions [12] for $f_{k}(2 n, 0)$ second transforming these $P$-recursions into $P$-recursions of $f_{k}(2 n, 0) /(2 n)$ ! and third deriving the corresponding ODEs for $\mathbf{H}_{k}(z)$ and verifying that the RHS of eq. (2) is a solution. The key point is that any singularity of $\mathbf{F}_{k}(z)$ is contained in the set of roots of $q_{0, k}(z)$ [12], which we denote by $R_{k}$. For $2 \leq k \leq 7$, we give the polynomials $q_{0, k}(z)$ and their roots in Table 1. In [8] we showed that for arbitrary $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}(2 n, 0) \sim \widetilde{c}_{k} n^{-\left((k-1)^{2}+(k-1) / 2\right)}(2(k-1))^{2 n}, \quad \widetilde{c}_{k}>0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in accordance with the fact that $\mathbf{F}_{k}(z)$ has the unique dominant singularity $\rho_{k}^{2}$, where $\rho_{k}=1 /(2 k-2)$.

We next introduce a central limit theorem due to Bender [1]. It is proved by analyzing the characteristic function by the Lévy-Cramér Theorem (Theorem IX. 4 in [3]).
Theorem 2.1. Suppose we are given the bivariate generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z, u)=\sum_{n, t \geq 0} f(n, t) z^{n} u^{t} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(n, t) \geq 0$ and $f(n)=\sum_{t} f(n, t)$. Let $\mathbb{X}_{n}$ be a r.v. such that $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{X}_{n}=\right.$ $t)=f(n, t) / f(n)$. Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[z^{n}\right] f\left(z, e^{s}\right) \sim c(s) n^{\alpha} \gamma(s)^{-n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $s$ in a neighborhood of 0 , where $c(s)$ is continuous and nonzero near $0, \alpha$ is a constant, and $\gamma(s)$ is analytic near 0 . Then there exists a pair $(\mu, \sigma)$ such that the normalized random variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{X}_{n}^{*}=\frac{\mathbb{X}_{n}-\mu n}{\sqrt{n \sigma^{2}}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

has asymptotically normal distribution with parameter $(0,1)$. That is we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{X}_{n}^{*}<x\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2} c^{2}} d c \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}(0)}{\gamma(0)} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma^{2}=\left(\frac{\gamma^{\prime}(0)}{\gamma(0)}\right)^{2}-\frac{\gamma^{\prime \prime}(0)}{\gamma(0)} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The crucial points for applying Theorem 2.1 are (a) eq. (6)

$$
\left[z^{n}\right] f\left(z, e^{s}\right) \sim c(s) n^{\alpha} \gamma(s)^{-n}
$$

uniformly in $s$ in a neighborhood of 0 , where $c(s)$ is continuous and nonzero near 0 and $\alpha$ is a constant and (b) the analyticity of $\gamma(s)$ in $s$ near 0 . In the following, we have generating functions of the form $\mathbf{F}_{k}(\psi(z, s))$. In this situation, Theorem 2.2 below guarantees under specific conditions

$$
\left[z^{n}\right] \mathbf{F}_{k}(\psi(z, s)) \sim A(s) n^{-\left((k-1)^{2}+(k-1) / 2\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma(s)}\right)^{n}, \quad A(s) \text { continuous }
$$

for $2 \leq k \leq 7$. The analyticity of $\gamma(s)$ is guaranteed by the analytic implicit function theorem [3].

Theorem 2.2. [7] Suppose $2 \leq k \leq 7$. Let $\psi(z, s)$ be an analytic function in a domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}=\{(z, s)| | z|\leq r,|s|<\epsilon\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\psi(0, s)=0$. In addition suppose $\gamma(s)$ is the unique dominant singularity of $\mathbf{F}_{k}(\psi(z, s))$ and analytic solution of $\psi(\gamma(s), s)=\rho_{k}^{2},|\gamma(s)| \leq r$, $\partial_{z} \psi(\gamma(s), s) \neq 0$ for $|s|<\epsilon$. Then $\mathbf{F}_{k}(\psi(z, s))$ has a singular expansion and $\left[z^{n}\right] \mathbf{F}_{k}(\psi(z, s)) \sim A(s) n^{-\left((k-1)^{2}+(k-1) / 2\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma(s)}\right)^{n} \quad$ for some continuous $A(s) \in \mathbb{C}$,
uniformly in s contained in a small neighborhood of 0 .
To keep the paper selfcontained we give a direct proof of Theorem 2.2 in Section 5. This avoids calling upon generic results, such as the uniformity Lemma of singularity analysis [3].

## 3. The generating function

In this section we compute the bivariate generating functions of hairpinloops, interior-loops and bulges. Let $h_{k, \tau}(n, t), i_{k, \tau}(n, t)$ and $b_{k, \tau}(n, t)$ denote the numbers of $k$-noncrossing, $\tau$-canonical RNA structures of length $n$ with $t$ hairpin-loops, interior-loops and bulges. We set

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{1}\right) & =\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{t \geq 0} h_{k, \tau}(n, t) z^{n} u_{1}^{t}  \tag{12}\\
\mathbf{I}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{2}\right) & =\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{t \geq 0} i_{k, \tau}(n, t) z^{n} u_{2}^{t}  \tag{13}\\
\mathbf{B}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{3}\right) & =\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{t \geq 0} b_{k, \tau}(n, t) z^{n} u_{3}^{t} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to derive the above generating functions we use symbolic enumeration [3]. A combinatorial class is a set of finite size with the definition of size function of its elements, whose elements are all finite size and the number of certain size elements is finite. Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ be a combinatorial class and $c \in \mathcal{C}$. We denote the size of $c$ by $|c|$. There are two special combinatorial classes $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ which respectively contains only an element of size 0 and an element of size 1 . The subset of $\mathcal{C}$ which contains all the elements of size $n$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is
denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{n}$. Then the generating function of a combinatorial class $\mathcal{C}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}(z)=\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} z^{|c|}=\sum_{n \geq 0} C_{n} z^{n} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{n} \subset \mathcal{C}$ and $C_{n}=\left|\mathcal{C}_{n}\right|$. In particular the generating functions of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ are given by $\mathbf{E}(z)=1$ and $\mathbf{Z}(z)=z$. For any two combinatorial classes $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D}$, we have the following operations:

- $\mathcal{C}+\mathcal{D}:=\mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{D}$, if $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{D}=\varnothing$
- $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}:=\{(c, d) \mid c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{D}\}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{m}:=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{C}$
- $\operatorname{SEQ}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{E}+\mathcal{C}+\mathcal{C}^{2}+\cdots$.

We have the following relations between the operations of combinatorial classes and the operations of their generating functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{C}+\mathcal{D} & \Rightarrow \mathbf{A}(z)=\mathbf{C}(z)+\mathbf{D}(z)  \tag{16}\\
\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} & \Rightarrow \mathbf{A}(z)=\mathbf{C}(z) \cdot \mathbf{D}(z)  \tag{17}\\
\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{SEQ}(\mathcal{C}) & \Rightarrow \mathbf{A}(z)=(1-\mathbf{C}(z))^{-1} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{A}(z), \mathbf{C}(z), \mathbf{D}(z)$ is the generating function of $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$.
Given a $k$-noncrossing, $\tau$-canonical RNA structure $\delta$, its $\operatorname{lv}_{k}^{5}$-shape, $\operatorname{lv}_{k}^{5}(\delta)$ [11], is obtained by first removing all isolated vertices and second collapsing any stack into a single arc, see Fig.5. By construction, $\mathrm{Iv}_{k}^{5}$-shapes do not preserve stack-lengths, interior loops and unpaired regions. In the following, we shall refer to $\operatorname{lv}_{k}^{5}$-shape simply as shape. Let $\mathcal{I}_{k, \tau}$ denote the set of $k$ noncrossing, $\tau$-canonical structures and $\mathcal{I}_{k}$ the set of all $k$-noncrossing shapes and $\mathcal{I}_{k}(m)$ those having $m 1$-arcs, see Figure 5 . Each stem of a $k$-noncrossing, $\tau$-canonical RNA structure is mapped into an arc in its corresponding shape and all hairpin-loops are mapped into 1-arcs. Therefore we have the surjective map,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: \mathcal{I}_{k, \tau} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{k} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, for a given shape $\gamma$ in $\mathcal{I}_{k}$, we can derive a $k$-noncrossing, $\tau$-canonical structure having arc-length $\geq 2$, we can add arcs to each arc contained in the shape such that every resulting stack has $\tau$ arcs and insert one isolated


Figure 5: A 3-noncrossing, 2-canonical RNA structure (top-left) is mapped into its shape (top-right) in two steps. A stem (blue) is mapped into a single shape-arc (blue). A hairpin-loop (red) is mapped into a shape-1-arc (red).
vertex in each 1-arc. Let $\mathcal{I}_{k}(s, m)$ and $i_{k}(s, m)$ denote the set and number of the $\mid \mathrm{v}_{k}^{5}$-shapes of length $2 s$ with $m 1$-arcs and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{I}_{k}(x, y)=\sum_{s \geq 0} \sum_{m=0}^{s} i_{k}(s, m) x^{s} y^{m} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the bivariate generating function. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{I}_{k}(m)$ denote the set of shapes $\gamma$ having $m$-arcs. Let $k, s, m$ be natural numbers where $k \geq 2$, then the generating function $\mathbf{I}_{k}(x, y)$ [11] is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{I}_{k}(x, y)=\frac{1+x}{1+2 x-x y} \mathbf{F}_{k}\left(\frac{x(1+x)}{(1+2 x-x y)^{2}}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $k, \tau \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2, \tau \geq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{1}\right)= \frac{(1-z)\left(1-z^{2}+z^{2 \tau}\right)}{(1-z)^{2}\left(1-z^{2}+z^{2 \tau}\right)+z^{2 \tau}-z^{2 \tau+1} u_{1}} \\
& \mathbf{F}_{k}\left(\frac{z^{2 \tau}(1-z)^{2}\left(1-z^{2}+z^{2 \tau}\right)}{\left((1-z)^{2}\left(1-z^{2}+z^{2 \tau}\right)+z^{2 \tau}-z^{2 \tau+1} u_{1}\right)^{2}}\right)  \tag{22}\\
& \mathbf{I}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{2}\right)= \frac{\left(1-z^{2}\right)(1-z)^{2}-u_{2} z^{2 \tau+2}+\left(2 z^{2}-2 z+1\right) z^{2 \tau}}{(1-z)\left(\left(1-z^{2}\right)(1-z)^{2}-u_{2} z^{2 \tau+2}+\left(2 z^{2}-3 z+2\right) z^{2 \tau}\right)} \\
& \mathbf{F}_{k}\left(\frac{z^{2 \tau}\left(\left(1-z^{2}\right)(1-z)^{2}-u_{2} z^{2 \tau+2}+\left(2 z^{2}-2 z+1\right) z^{2 \tau}\right)}{\left(\left(1-z^{2}\right)(1-z)^{2}-u_{2} z^{2 \tau+2}+\left(2 z^{2}-3 z+2\right) z^{2 \tau}\right)^{2}}\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{B}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{3}\right)= & \frac{\left(1-z^{2}\right)(1-z)-2 u_{3} z^{2 \tau+1}+(z+1) z^{2 \tau}}{(1-z)\left(\left(1-z^{2}\right)(1-z)-2 u_{3} z^{2 \tau+1}+(z+2) z^{2 \tau}\right)} \\
& \mathbf{F}_{k}\left(\frac{z^{2 \tau}\left(\left(1-z^{2}\right)(1-z)-2 u_{3} z^{2 \tau+1}+(z+1) z^{2 \tau}\right)}{(1-z)\left(\left(1-z^{2}\right)(1-z)-2 u_{3} z^{2 \tau+1}+(z+2) z^{2 \tau}\right)^{2}}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We prove the theorem via symbolic enumeration representing a $k$ noncrossing, $\tau$-canonical structure as the inflation of a shape, $\gamma$. Since a structure inflated from $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_{k}(s, m)$ has exactly $s$ stems, $(2 s+1)$ (possibly empty) intervals of isolated vertices and $m$ nonempty such intervals we rewrite the generating functions as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{1}\right) & =\sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_{k}(m)} \mathbf{T}_{\gamma}\left(z, u_{1}, 1,1\right), \\
\mathbf{I}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{2}\right) & =\sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_{k}(m)} \mathbf{T}_{\gamma}\left(z, 1, u_{2}, 1\right) \\
\mathbf{B}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{3}\right) & =\sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_{k}(m)} \mathbf{T}_{\gamma}\left(z, 1,1, u_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}\left(z, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$ is the generating function of all $k$-noncrossing, $\tau$ canonical structures with shape $\gamma$ and $u_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are variables associated with the number of hairpin-loops, interior-loops and bulges. In order to compute the latter we consider the inflation process: we inflate $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_{k}(m)$ having $s$ arcs, where $s \geq m$, to a structure as follows:

- we inflate each arc of the shape to a stem of stacks of minimum size $\tau$. Any isolated vertices inserted during this first inflation step separate the added stacks.
- we insert isolated vertices at the remaining $(2 s+1)$ positions.

We inflate any shape-arc to a stack of size at least $\tau$ and subsequently add additional stacks. The latter are called induced stacks and have to be separated by means of inserting isolated vertices, see Fig. 6. Note that during this first inflation step no intervals of isolated vertices, other than those necessary for separating the nested stacks are inserted. After the first inflation step we proceed inflating further by inserting only additional isolated vertices at the remaining $(2 s+1)$ positions in which such insertions are possible. For each 1 -arc at least one such isolated vertex is necessarily inserted, see Fig. 7. We


Figure 6: The first inflation step a shape (left) is inflated to a 3 -noncrossing, 2canonical structure. First, every arc in the shape is inflated to a stack of size at least two (middle), and then the shape is inflated to a new 3 -noncrossing, 2canonical structure (right) by adding one stack of size two. There are three ways to insert the isolated vertices.


Figure 7: The second inflation step: the structure (left) obtained in (1) in Fig. 6 is inflated to a new 3 -noncrossing, 2-canonical RNA structures (right) by adding isolated vertices (red).
proceed by expressing the above two inflations in terms of symbolic enumeration. For this purpose we introduce the combinatorial classes $\mathcal{M}$ (stems), $\mathcal{K}^{\tau}$ (stacks), $\mathcal{N}^{\tau}$ (induced stacks), $\mathcal{L}$ (isolated vertices), $\mathcal{R}$ (arcs) and $\mathcal{Z}$ (vertices), where $\mathbf{Z}(z)=z$ and $\mathbf{R}(z)=z^{2}$. Let $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{3}$ be the labels for hairpin-loops, interior-loops and bulges, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{T}_{\gamma} & =(\mathcal{M})^{s} \times \mathcal{L}^{2 s+1-m} \times\left([\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{L}]_{\mu_{1}}\right)^{m}  \tag{25}\\
\mathcal{M} & =\mathcal{K}^{\tau} \times \operatorname{SEQ}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\tau}\right)  \tag{26}\\
\mathcal{N}^{\tau} & =\mathcal{K}^{\tau} \times\left([\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{L}]_{\mu_{3}}+[\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{L}]_{\mu_{3}}+\left[(\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{L})^{2}\right]_{\mu_{2}}\right),  \tag{27}\\
\mathcal{K}^{\tau} & =\mathcal{R}^{\tau} \times \operatorname{SEQ}(\mathcal{R})  \tag{28}\\
\mathcal{L} & =\operatorname{SEQ}(\mathcal{Z}) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

and consequently, translating the above relations into generating functions
the generating function $\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}\left(z, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left(\frac{\frac{z^{2 \tau}}{1-z^{2}}}{1-\frac{z^{2 \tau}}{1-z^{2}}\left(2 \frac{u_{3} z}{1-z}+u_{2}\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{2}\right)}\right)^{s}\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)^{2 s+1-m}\left(\frac{u_{1} z}{1-z}\right)^{m} \\
& =(1-z)^{-1}\left(\frac{z^{2 \tau}}{\left(1-z^{2}\right)(1-z)^{2}-\left(2 u_{3} z(1-z)+u_{2} z^{2}\right) z^{2 \tau}}\right)^{s}\left(u_{1} z\right)^{m},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the indeterminants $u_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ correspond to the labels $\mu_{i}$, i.e. the occurrences of hairpin-loops, interior-loops and bulges. Accordingly, for any two shapes $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \mathcal{I}_{k}(m)$ having $s$ arcs, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}_{\gamma_{1}}\left(z, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)=\mathbf{T}_{\gamma_{2}}\left(z, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(u_{2}, u_{3}\right)=\frac{z^{2 \tau}}{\left(1-z^{2}\right)(1-z)^{2}-\left(2 u_{3} z(1-z)+u_{2} z^{2}\right) z^{2 \tau}} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and accordingly derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{1}\right)=\sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_{k}(m)} \mathbf{T}_{\gamma}\left(z, u_{1}, 1,1\right)=\sum_{s \geq 0} \sum_{m=0}^{s} i_{k}(s, m) \mathbf{T}_{\gamma}\left(z, u_{1}, 1,1\right), \\
& \mathbf{I}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{2}\right)=\sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_{k}(m)} \mathbf{T}_{\gamma}\left(z, 1, u_{2}, 1\right)=\sum_{s \geq 0} \sum_{m=0}^{s} i_{k}(s, m) \mathbf{T}_{\gamma}\left(z, 1, u_{2}, 1\right), \\
& \mathbf{B}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{3}\right)=\sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_{k}(m)} \mathbf{T}_{\gamma}\left(z, 1,1, u_{3}\right)=\sum_{s \geq 0} \sum_{m=0}^{s} i_{k}(s, m) \mathbf{T}_{\gamma}\left(z, 1,1, u_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It now remains to observe

$$
\sum_{s \geq 0} \sum_{m=0}^{s} i_{k}(s, m) x^{s} y^{m}=\frac{1+x}{1+2 x-x y} \mathbf{F}_{k}\left(\frac{x(1+x)}{(1+2 x-x y)^{2}}\right) .
$$

and to subsequently substitute $x=\eta(1,1)$ and $y=u_{1} z$ for deriving $\mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{1}\right)$. Substituting $x=\eta\left(u_{2}, 1\right)$ and $y=z$ in we obtain $\mathbf{I}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{2}\right)$ and finally $x=\eta\left(1, u_{3}\right)$ and $y=z$ produce the expression for $\mathbf{B}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{3}\right)$, whence the theorem.

## 4. The central limit theorem

For fixed $k$-noncrossing, $\tau$-canonical structure, $S$, let $\mathbb{H}_{n, k, \tau}(S), \mathbb{I}_{n, k, \tau}(S)$ and $\mathbb{B}_{n, k, \tau}(S)$ denote the number of hairpin-loops, interior-loops and bulges in $S$. Then we have the r.v.s

- $\mathbb{H}_{n, k, \tau}$, where $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{H}_{n, k, \tau}=t\right)=\frac{h_{k, \tau}(n, t)}{\mathbb{T}_{k, \tau}(n)}$
- $\mathbb{I}_{n, k, \tau}$, where $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{I}_{n, k, \tau}=t\right)=\frac{i_{k, \tau}(n, t)}{T_{k, \tau}(n)}$
- $\mathbb{B}_{n, k, \tau}$, where $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{B}_{n, k, \tau}=t\right)=\frac{b_{k, \tau}(n, t)}{\mathrm{T}_{k, \tau}(n)}$.

Here $h_{k, \tau}(n, t), i_{k, \tau}(n, t)$ and $b_{k, \tau}(n, t)$ are the numbers of $k$-noncrossing, $\tau$-canonical structures of length $n$ with $t$ hairpin-loops, interior-loops and bulges. The key for computing the distributions of the above r.v.s are the bivariate generating functions derived in Theorem 3.1:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{1}\right) & =\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{t \geq 0} h_{k, \tau}(n, t) z^{n} u_{1}^{t}  \tag{32}\\
\mathbf{I}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{2}\right) & =\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{t \geq 0} i_{k, \tau}(n, t) z^{n} u_{2}^{t}  \tag{33}\\
\mathbf{B}_{k, \tau}\left(z, u_{3}\right) & =\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{t \geq 0} b_{k, \tau}(n, t) z^{n} u_{3}^{t} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

The following proposition is based on Theorem 2.2 and facilitates the application of Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 1. Suppose $2 \leq k \leq 7,1 \leq \tau \leq 10$. There exists a unique dominant $\mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}\left(z, e^{s}\right)$-singularity, $\gamma_{k, \tau}(s)$, such that for $|s|<\epsilon$, where $\epsilon>0$ :
(1) $\gamma_{k, \tau}(s)$ is analytic,
(2) $\gamma_{k, \tau}(s)$ is the solution of minimal modulus of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{2 \tau}(1-z)^{2}\left(1-z^{2}+z^{2 \tau}\right)}{\left((1-z)^{2}\left(1-z^{2}+z^{2 \tau}\right)+z^{2 \tau}-z^{2 \tau+1} e^{s}\right)^{2}}-\rho_{k}^{2}=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[z^{n}\right] \mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}\left(z, e^{s}\right) \sim C(s) n^{-\left((k-1)^{2}+\frac{k-1}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{k, \tau}(s)}\right)^{n} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $s$ in a neighborhood of 0 and continuous $C(s)$.

Proof. The first step is to establish the existence and uniqueness of the dominant singularity $\gamma_{k, \tau}(s)$.
We denote

$$
\begin{align*}
\vartheta(z, s) & =(1-z)^{2}\left(1-z^{2}+z^{2 \tau}\right)+z^{2 \tau}-z^{2 \tau+1} e^{s}  \tag{37}\\
\psi_{\tau}(z, s) & =z^{2 \tau}(1-z)^{2}\left(1-z^{2}+z^{2 \tau}\right) \vartheta(z, s)^{-2}  \tag{38}\\
\omega_{\tau}(z, s) & =(1-z)\left(1-z^{2}+z^{2 \tau}\right) \vartheta(z, s)^{-1} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

and consider the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall 2 \leq i \leq k ; \quad F_{i, \tau}(z, s)=\psi_{\tau}(z, s)-\rho_{i}^{2} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{i}=1 /(2 i-2)$. Theorem 3.1 and Table 1 imply that the singularities of $\mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}\left(z, e^{s}\right)$ are are contained in the set of roots of

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i, \tau}(z, s)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \vartheta(z, s)=0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i \leq k$. Let $r_{i, \tau}$ denote the solution of minimal modulus of

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i, \tau}(z, 0)=\psi_{\tau}(z, 0)-\rho_{i}^{2}=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next verify that, for sufficiently small $\epsilon_{i}>0,\left|z-r_{i, \tau}\right|<\epsilon_{i},|s|<\epsilon_{i}$, the following assertions hold

- $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} F_{i, \tau}\left(r_{i, \tau}, 0\right) \neq 0$
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} F_{i, \tau}(z, s)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} F_{i, \tau}(z, s)$ are continuous.

The analytic implicit function theorem, guarantees the existence of a unique analytic function $\gamma_{i, \tau}(s)$ such that, for $|s|<\epsilon_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i, \tau}\left(\gamma_{i, \tau}(s), s\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma_{i, \tau}(0)=r_{i, \tau} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, we obtain the unique analytic function $\delta(s)$ satisfying $\vartheta(z, s)=$ 0 and where $\delta(0)$ is the minimal solution of $\vartheta(z, 0)=0$ for $|s|<\epsilon_{\delta}$, for some $\epsilon_{\delta}>0$. We next verify that the unique dominant singularity of $\mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}(z, 1)$ is the minimal positive solution $r_{k, \tau}$ of $F_{k, \tau}(z, 0)=0$ and subsequently using an continuity argument. Therefore, for sufficiently small $\epsilon$ where $\epsilon<\epsilon_{i}$ and $\epsilon<\epsilon_{\delta},|s|<\epsilon$, the module of $\gamma_{i, \tau}(s), i<k$ and $\delta(s)$ are all strictly larger than the modulus of $\gamma_{k, \tau}(s)$. Consequently, $\gamma_{k, \tau}(s)$ is the unique dominant singularity of $\mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}\left(z, e^{s}\right)$.

Claim. There exists some continuous $C(s)$ such that, uniformly in $s$, for $s$ in a neighborhood of 0

$$
\left[z^{n}\right] \mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}\left(z, e^{s}\right) \sim C(s) n^{-\left((k-1)^{2}+\frac{k-1}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{k, \tau}(s)}\right)^{n}
$$

To prove the Claim, let $r$ be some positive real number such that $r_{k, \tau}<r<$ $\delta(0)$. For sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$ and $|s|<\epsilon$,

$$
\left|\gamma_{k, \tau}(s)\right| \leq r \quad \text { and } \quad|\delta(s)|>r
$$

Then $\psi_{\tau}(z, s)$ and $\omega_{\tau}(z, s)$ are all analytic in $\mathcal{D}=\{(z, s)| | z|\leq r,|s|<\epsilon\}$ and $\psi_{\tau}(0, s)=0$. Since $\gamma_{k, \tau}(s)$ is the unique dominant singularity of

$$
\mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}\left(z, e^{s}\right)=\omega_{\tau}(z, s) \mathbf{F}_{k}\left(\psi_{\tau}(z, s)\right),
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\tau}\left(\gamma_{k, \tau}(s), s\right)=\rho_{k}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\gamma_{k, \tau}(s)\right| \leq r, \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|s|<\epsilon$. For sufficiently small $\epsilon>0, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} F_{k, \tau}(z, s)$ is continuous and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} F_{k, \tau}\left(r_{k, \tau}, 0\right) \neq 0$. Thus there exists some $\epsilon>0$, such that for $|s|<\epsilon$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} F_{k, \tau}\left(\gamma_{k, \tau}(s), s\right) \neq 0$. According to Theorem 2.2, we therefore derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[z^{n}\right] \mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}\left(z, e^{s}\right) \sim C(s) n^{-\left((k-1)^{2}+\frac{k-1}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{k, \tau}(s)}\right)^{n} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $s$ in a neighborhood of 0 and continuous $C(s)$.
After establishing the analogues of Proposition 1 for $\mathbf{I}_{k, \tau}(z, u)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{k, \tau}(z, u)$, see the Supplemental Materials, Theorem 2.1 implies the following central limit theorem for the distributions of hairpin-loops, interior-loops and bulges in $k$-noncrossing structures.
Theorem 4.1. Let $k, \tau \in \mathbb{N}, 2 \leq k \leq 7,1 \leq \tau \leq 10$ and suppose the random variable $\mathbb{X}$ denotes either $\mathbb{H}_{n, k, \tau}, \mathbb{I}_{n, k, \tau}$ or $\mathbb{B}_{n, k, \tau}$. Then there exists a pair

$$
\left(\mu_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X}}, \sigma_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X}}^{2}\right)
$$

such that the normalized random variable $\mathbb{X}^{*}$ has asymptotically normal distribution with parameter $(0,1)$, where $\mu_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X}}$ and $\sigma_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X}}^{2}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X}}=-\frac{\gamma_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X}}^{\prime}(0)}{\gamma_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X}}(0)}, \quad \sigma_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X}}^{2}=\left(\frac{\gamma_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X}}^{\prime}(0)}{\gamma_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X}}(0)}\right)^{2}-\frac{\gamma_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X}}^{\prime \prime}(0)}{\gamma_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X}}(0)}, \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X}}(s)$ represents the unique dominant singularity of $\mathbf{H}_{k, \tau}\left(z, e^{s}\right), \mathbf{I}_{k, \tau}\left(z, e^{s}\right)$, and $\mathbf{B}_{k, \tau}\left(z, e^{s}\right)$, respectively.

In Tables 2, 3 and 4 we present the values of the pairs ( $\mu_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X},}, \sigma_{k, \tau, \mathbb{X}}^{2}$ ).

|  | $k=2$ |  | $k=3$ |  | $k=4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=1$ | 0.105573 | 0.032260 | 0.012013 | 0.011202 | 0.003715 | 0.003641 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=2$ | 0.061281 | 0.018116 | 0.009845 | 0.008879 | 0.003734 | 0.003602 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=3$ | 0.043900 | 0.012752 | 0.007966 | 0.007060 | 0.003200 | 0.003060 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=4$ | 0.034477 | 0.009896 | 0.006680 | 0.005854 | 0.002757 | 0.002622 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $k=5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $k=6$ |  | $k=7$ |  |
|  | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=1$ | 0.001626 | 0.001612 | 0.000855 | 0.000852 | 0.000505 | 0.000504 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=2$ | 0.001897 | 0.001864 | 0.001123 | 0.001111 | 0.000731 | 0.000726 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=3$ | 0.001693 | 0.001655 | 0.001035 | 0.001021 | 0.000692 | 0.000686 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=4$ | 0.001486 | 0.001448 | 0.000922 | 0.000907 | 0.000624 | 0.000618 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2: Hairpin-loops: The central limit theorem for the numbers of hairpinloops in $k$-noncrossing, $\tau$-canonical structures. We list $\mu_{k, \tau}$ and $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ derived from eq. (46).

|  | $k=2$ |  | $k=3$ |  | $k=4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=1$ | 0.015403 | 0.013916 | 0.001185 | 0.001176 | 0.000264 | 0.000264 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=2$ | 0.012959 | 0.011395 | 0.001823 | 0.001793 | 0.000603 | 0.000599 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=3$ | 0.011075 | 0.009570 | 0.001878 | 0.001837 | 0.000693 | 0.000688 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=4$ | 0.009682 | 0.008261 | 0.001803 | 0.001755 | 0.000700 | 0.000693 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $k=5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $k=6$ |  | $k=7$ |  |
| $\mu_{k, \tau}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ |
| $\tau=1$ | 0.000090 | 0.000090 | 0.000039 | 0.000039 | 0.000019 | 0.000019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=2$ | 0.000275 | 0.000274 | 0.000149 | 0.000149 | 0.000090 | 0.000090 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=3$ | 0.000343 | 0.000341 | 0.000198 | 0.000198 | 0.000126 | 0.000126 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=4$ | 0.000359 | 0.000357 | 0.000214 | 0.000213 | 0.000140 | 0.000140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3: Interior-loops: The central limit theorem for the numbers of interior-loops in $k$-noncrossing, $\tau$-canonical structures. We list $\mu_{k, \tau}$ and $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ derived from eq. (46).

|  | $k=2$ |  | $k=3$ |  | $k=4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=1$ | 0.049845 | 0.042310 | 0.008982 | 0.008684 | 0.003094 | 0.003058 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=2$ | 0.025088 | 0.021785 | 0.005789 | 0.005597 | 0.002457 | 0.002422 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=3$ | 0.015859 | 0.013979 | 0.003936 | 0.003814 | 0.001762 | 0.001737 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=4$ | 0.011197 | 0.009980 | 0.002878 | 0.002795 | 0.001318 | 0.001301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $k=5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $k=6$ |  | $k=7$ |  |
| $\mu_{k, \tau}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ | $\mu_{k, \tau}$ | $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ |
| $\tau=1$ | 0.001422 | 0.001414 | 0.000770 | 0.000767 | 0.000463 | 0.000462 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=2$ | 0.001326 | 0.001316 | 0.000817 | 0.000813 | 0.000547 | 0.000546 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=3$ | 0.000991 | 0.000984 | 0.000632 | 0.000629 | 0.000436 | 0.000435 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau=4$ | 0.000755 | 0.000750 | 0.000489 | 0.000486 | 0.000342 | 0.000341 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4: Bulges: The central limit theorems for the numbers of bulges in $k$ noncrossing, $\tau$-canonical structures. We list $\mu_{k, \tau}$ and $\sigma_{k, \tau}^{2}$ derived from eq. (46).

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2.2

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We consider the composite function $\mathbf{F}_{k}(\psi(z, s))$. In view of $\left[z^{n}\right] f(z, s)=\gamma^{n}\left[z^{n}\right] f\left(\frac{z}{\gamma}, s\right)$ it suffices to analyze the function $\mathbf{F}_{k}(\psi(\gamma(s) z, s))$ and to subsequently rescale in order to obtain the correct exponential factor. For this purpose we set

$$
\widetilde{\psi}(z, s)=\psi(\gamma(s) z, s)
$$

where $\psi(z, s)$ is analytic in a domain $\mathcal{D}=\{(z, s)| | z|\leq r,|s|<\epsilon\}$. Consequently $\widetilde{\psi}(z, s)$ is analytic in $|z|<\widetilde{r}$ and $|s|<\widetilde{\epsilon}$, for some $1<\widetilde{r}, 0<\widetilde{\epsilon}<\epsilon$, since it's a composition of two analytic functions in $\mathcal{D}$. Taking its Taylor expansion at $z=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\psi}(z, s)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \widetilde{\psi}_{n}(s)(1-z)^{n} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\psi}_{n}(s)$ is analytic in $|s|<\widetilde{\epsilon}$. The singular expansion of $\mathbf{F}_{k}(z), 2 \leq k \leq$ 7 , for $z \rightarrow \rho_{k}^{2}$, follows from the ODEs, see eq. (3), and is given by

$$
\mathbf{F}_{k}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{k}\left(z-\rho_{k}^{2}\right)+c_{k}^{\prime}\left(z-\rho_{k}^{2}\right)^{\left((k-1)^{2}+(k-1) / 2\right)-1} \log \left(z-\rho_{k}^{2}\right)(1+o(1))  \tag{48}\\
P_{k}\left(z-\rho_{k}^{2}\right)+c_{k}^{\prime}\left(z-\rho_{k}^{2}\right)^{\left((k-1)^{2}+(k-1) / 2\right)-1}(1+o(1))
\end{array}\right.
$$

depending on whether $k$ is odd or even and where $P_{k}(z)$ are polynomials of degree $\leq(k-1)^{2}+(k-1) / 2-1, c_{k}^{\prime}$ is some constant, and $\rho_{k}=1 / 2(k-1)$. By assumption, $\gamma(s)$ is the unique analytic solution of $\psi(\gamma(s), s)=\rho_{k}^{2}$ and by construction $\mathbf{F}_{k}(\psi(\gamma(s) z, s))=\mathbf{F}_{k}(\widetilde{\psi}(z, s))$. In view of eq. (47), we have for $z \rightarrow 1$ the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\psi}(z, s)-\rho_{k}^{2}=\sum_{n \geq 1} \widetilde{\psi}_{n}(s)(1-z)^{n}=\widetilde{\psi}_{1}(s)(1-z)(1+o(1)) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is uniform in $s$ since $\widetilde{\psi}_{n}(s)$ is analytic for $|s|<\tilde{\epsilon}$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_{0}(s)=\psi(\gamma(s), s)=$ $\rho_{k}^{2}$. As for the singular expansion of $\mathbf{F}_{k}(\widetilde{\psi}(z, s))$ we derive, substituting the eq. (49) into the singular expansion of $\mathbf{F}_{k}(z)$, for $z \rightarrow 1$,

$$
\begin{cases}\widetilde{P}_{k}(z, s)+c_{k}(s)(1-z)^{\left((k-1)^{2}+(k-1) / 2\right)-1} \log (1-z)(1+o(1)) & \text { for } k \text { odd }  \tag{50}\\ \widetilde{P}_{k}(z, s)+c_{k}(s)(1-z)^{\left((k-1)^{2}+(k-1) / 2\right)-1}(1+o(1)) & \text { for } k \text { even }\end{cases}
$$

where $\widetilde{P}_{k}(z, s)=P_{k}\left(\widetilde{\psi}(z, s)-\rho_{k}^{2}\right)$ and $c_{k}(s)=c_{k}^{\prime} \widetilde{\psi}_{1}(s)^{\left((k-1)^{2}+(k-1) / 2\right)-1}$ and

$$
\widetilde{\psi}_{1}(s)=\left.\partial_{z} \widetilde{\psi}(z, s)\right|_{z=1}=\gamma(s) \partial_{z} \psi(\gamma(s), s) \neq 0 \quad \text { for }|s|<\epsilon
$$

Furthermore $\widetilde{P}_{k}(z, s)$ is analytic at $|z| \leq 1$, whence $\left[z^{n}\right] \widetilde{P}_{k}(z, s)$ is exponentially small compared to 1 . Therefore we arrive at

$$
\left[z^{n}\right] \mathbf{F}_{k}(\widetilde{\psi}(z, s)) \sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[z^{n}\right] c_{k}(s)(1-z)^{\left((k-1)^{2}+(k-1) / 2\right)-1} \log (1-z)(1+o(1))}  \tag{51}\\
{\left[z^{n}\right] c_{k}(s)(1-z)^{\left((k-1)^{2}+(k-1) / 2\right)-1}(1+o(1))}
\end{array}\right.
$$

depending on $k$ being odd or even and uniformly in $|s|<\widetilde{\epsilon}$. We observe that $c_{k}(s)$ is analytic in $|s|<\tilde{\epsilon}$. Note that a dependency in the parameter $s$ is only given in the coefficients $c_{k}(s)$, that are analytic in $s$. Standard transfer theorems [3] imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[z^{n}\right] \mathbf{F}_{k}(\widetilde{\psi}(z, s)) \sim A(s) n^{-\left((k-1)^{2}+(k-1) / 2\right)} \quad \text { for some } A(s) \in \mathbb{C} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $s$ contained in a small neighborhood of 0 . Finally, as mention in the beginning of the proof, we use the scaling property of Taylor expansions in order to derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[z^{n}\right] \mathbf{F}_{k}(\psi(z, s))=(\gamma(s))^{-n}\left[z^{n}\right] \mathbf{F}_{k}(\widetilde{\psi}(z, s)) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the proof of the Theorem is complete.
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